

LENNON, MURPHY & LENNON, LLC
420 Lexington Avenue, Suite 300
New York, NY 10170
Tel: (212) 490-6050
Fax: (212) 490-6070
Attorneys for Plaintiff

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X
FILIA SHIPPING S.A., :
 :
Plaintiff, : 07 CV 3034 (MGC)
 :
- against - : ECF CASE
 :
SINORICHES GLOBAL LIMITED, :
SEA FORTUNE CO. LTD. and OCEANLINK :
NAVIGATION CO. LTD., :
 :
Defendants. :
-----X

AMENDED AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF PRAYER FOR MARITIME ATTACHMENT

State of Connecticut)
) ss: Town of Southport
County of Fairfield)

Kevin J. Lennon, being duly sworn, deposes and says:

1. I am a member of the Bar of this Court and represent the Plaintiff herein. I am familiar with the facts of this case and make this Affidavit in support of Plaintiff's prayer for the issuance of a Writ of Maritime Attachment and Garnishment, pursuant to Rule B of the Supplemental Admiralty Rules of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

DEFENDANTS ARE NOT PRESENT IN THIS DISTRICT

2. I have attempted to locate the Defendants, SINORICHES GLOBAL LIMITED, SEA FORTUNE CO. LTD. and OCEANLINK NAVIGATION CO. LTD. within this District.

As part of my investigation to locate the Defendants within this District, I checked the telephone company information directory, as well as the white and yellow pages for New York listed on the Internet or World Wide Web, and did not find any listing for the Defendants. Finally, I checked the New York State Department of Corporations' online database which showed no listing or registration for these Defendants.

3. I submit that the Defendants cannot be found within this District within the meaning of Rule B of the Supplemental Rules for Certain Admiralty and Maritime Claims.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF FOR ORDER ALLOWING SPECIAL PROCESS SERVER

4. Plaintiff seeks an Order pursuant to Rule 4(c) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, for an Order appointing the undersigned, Patrick F. Lennon, Charles E. Murphy, Nancy Peterson or any other partner, associate, paralegal or agent of Lennon, Murphy & Lennon, LLC be and is hereby appointed, in addition to the United States Marshal, to serve the Process of Maritime Attachment and Garnishment and the Verified Complaint, together with any interrogatories, upon the garnishee(s), together with any other garnishee(s) who (based upon information developed subsequent hereto by the Plaintiff) may hold assets of, for or on account of, the Defendant.

5. Plaintiff seeks to serve the prayed for Process of Maritime Attachment and Garnishment with all deliberate speed so that it may be fully protected against the potential of being unable to satisfy a judgment ultimately obtained by Plaintiff and entered against the Defendant.

6. To the extent that this application for an Order appointing a special process server with respect to this attachment and garnishment does not involve a restraint of physical property, there is no need to require that the service be effected by the Marshal as it involves simple

delivery of the Process of Maritime Attachment and Garnishment to the various garnishes to be identified in the writ.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF TO SERVE LATER IDENTIFIED GARNISHEES


7. Plaintiff also respectfully requests that the Court grant it leave to serve any additional garnishee(s) who may, upon information and belief obtained in the course of this litigation, to be holding, or believed to be holding, property of the Defendants, within this District. Obtaining leave of Court at this time to serve any later identified garnishees will allow for prompt service of the Process of Maritime Attachment and Garnishment without the need to present to the Court amended Process seeking simply to identify other garnishee(s).

PRAYER FOR RELIEF TO DEEM SERVICE CONTINUOUS


8. Further, in order to avoid the need to repetitively serve the garnishees/banks, Plaintiff respectfully seeks further leave of the Court, as set out in the accompanying Ex Parte Order for Process of Maritime Attachment, for any process that is served on a garnishee to be deemed effective and continuous service of process throughout the remainder of the day upon which such service is made commencing from the time of such service, and that such service is further deemed to be effective through the end of the next business day, provided that another service is made within the next business day, and to authorize service of process via facsimile or e-mail following initial *in personam* service.

9. This is Plaintiff's second request for this relief made to any Court.

Dated: September 21, 2007
Southport, CT


Kevin J. Lennon

Sworn and subscribed to before me
this 21st day of September, 2007.


~~Commissioner of Superior Court~~
NOTARY PUBLIC